

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being filed via
EFS-Web with the United States Patent and Trademark Office
on March 10, 2009

PATENT
Attorney Docket No.: 026988-000220US

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

By: /Kay Barclay/
Kay Barclay

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Jan Hendrik Mensen

Application No.: 09/374,598

Filed: August 13, 1999

For: WEB MEMBER FOR CONCRETE
FORM WALLS

Customer No.: 20350

Confirmation No. 3958

Examiner: Hanh Van Tran

Technology Center/Art Unit: 3637

SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY TO OFFICE
ACTION

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Commissioner:

A response was filed February 9, 2009 in reply to the Office action ("Office Action") mailed October 7, 2008. Applicants submit this Supplemental Reply to clarify certain aspects of the Remarks in the February 9 Reply to ensure that the characterizations of the recapture law are consistent with the current state of the law. The substance of the arguments has not changed, and no changes to the claims have been made. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Office substitute this Supplemental Reply for the Reply filed on February 9, and reconsider the application in light of the following remarks.

The claims are reflected in the **Listing of Claims** which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 12 of this paper.